PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

rom 1 VTER	the RNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHO	DRITY				
To: see form PCT/ISA/220 Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220			WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43 bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below			
						Inter
Inter	national Patent Classification (IPC) or 4M1/23, H04M1/02, H04M1/247	both national classification , G06F3/033	and IPC			
	licant KIA CORPORATION					
	☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of Reasoned state applicability; of Box No. VI Certain docur	tement under Rule 43 <i>bi</i> titations and explanation nents cited	s.1(a)(i) with regard to s supporting such sta	novelty, inventi	•	· .
	☑ Box No. VII Certain defect☐ Box No. VIII Certain obsert	ts in the international ap vations on the internatio	plication nal application		•	
2.	FURTHER ACTION					-
:	If a demand for international provinten opinion of the Internation the applicant chooses an Author International Bureau under Rulwill not be so considered.	nal Preliminary Examinary of the print of th	to be the IPEA and th opinions of this interr	e chosen IPEA h national Searchin	nas notifed the ng Authority	
	If this opinion is, as provided at submit to the IPEA a written re months from the date of mailing whichever expires later.	oove, considered to be a ply together, where appr g of Form PCT/ISA/220 o	written opinion of the opriate, with amendra or before the expiration	PEA, the applicants, before the in of 22 months f	cant is invited to expiration of three rom the priority date	∍,
	For further options, see Form F	•	•	<i>.</i> :		
з.	For further details, see notes to	Form PCT/ISA/220.		·		
	11 11 11 11 11 11 11 A		Authorized Officer			es Pale
Na	me and mailing address of the ISA:	•			a productive to the second	



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Pascual Vallés, E

Telephone No. +31 70 340-1022



10/584381

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/014746

		IAPZURECIA PCI/PIU 26 JUN 2000
_	Box No. I Basis of the opinion	
i.	the language in which it was filed,	opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	language , which is the lang (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)	
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and necessary to the claimed invention	dor amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. type of material:	
	☐ a sequence listing	· ·
	☐ table(s) related to the sequ	ience listing
	b. format of material:	
	☐ in written format	
	☐ in computer readable form	
	c. time of filing/furnishing:	
	☐ contained in the internation	nal application as filed.
	☐ filed together with the inter	national application in computer readable form.
	☐ furnished subsequently to	this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.		nore than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating theretone required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional are application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as

4. Additional comments:

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

13 -

No: Claims

1-12,14-18

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-18

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-18

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted: see separate sheet

PCT/EP2004/014746 IAP20 Rec'd PCT/PTO 26 JUN 2006

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: EP 1 223 541 A (NOKIA CORPORATION) 17 July 2002 (2002-07-17)

D2: EP 1 150 242 A (SIEMENS AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT) 31 October 2001 (2001-10-31)

D3: EP 1 367 804 A (LG ELECTRONICS INC) 3 December 2003 (2003-12-03)

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of independent claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

Document D1 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

A key arrangement (fig.11, ref.940) for a portable electronic device (fig.11) comprising a substantially planar body (fig.11) for lying over a circuit board that carries electronic components and an input key (fig.11, ref.940) wherein said input key is attachable to said body and is operable for relative movement along confronting surfaces of said body and said input key (paragraphs [23] and [24], fig.5a and 5b).

The subject-matter of independent claim 1 is not new (Article 33(2) PCT). Moreover, documents D2-D3 also disclose all the features of this claim, see the corresponding passages cited in the search report.

- 2. The same reasoning applies, mutatis mutandis, to the subject-matter of independent claim 17, referring to a radiotelephone including said key arrangement, and to the subject-matter of more general claim 18, which therefore are also considered not new.
- 3. Dependent claims 2-16 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step, see documents D1-D3 and the corresponding passages cited in the search report.

4. The subject-matter of claims 1-18 relates to a key arrangement for a portable electronic device comprising an input key attachable to the body of the device and has an industrial application.

Re Item VII

Certain defects in the international application

- 1. The features of the claims are not provided with reference signs placed in parentheses (Rule 6.2(b) PCT).
- 2. Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the documents D1 and D2 is not mentioned in the description, nor are these documents identified therein.
- 3. Independent claims are not in the two-part form in accordance with Rule 6.3(b) PCT.